



2023-2024 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for
Schools_12052023_10:20

2023-2024 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools

Mason-Corinth Elementary
Nicole Davis
225 Heekin Road
Williamstown, Kentucky, 41097
United States of America

Table of Contents

2023-24 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools	3
Attachment Summary	7

2023-24 Phase Two: The Needs Assessment for Schools

Understanding Continuous Improvement: The Needs Assessment for Schools

The Needs Assessment Diagnostic will facilitate the use of multiple sources of data to determine the current reality and establish a foundation for decision-making around school goals and strategies. Once completed, the diagnostic will lead to priorities to be addressed in the comprehensive school improvement plan to build staff capacity and increase student achievement. The needs assessment is to be conducted annually as an essential part of the continuous improvement process and precedes the development of strategic goals (i.e. desired state).

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes).

The needs assessment provides the framework for all schools to clearly and honestly identify their most critical areas for improvement that will be addressed later in the planning process through the development of goals, objectives, strategies and activities. 703 KAR 2:225 requires, as part of continuous improvement planning for schools, each school to complete the needs assessment between October 1 and November 1 of each year and include: (1) a description of the data reviewed and the process used to develop the needs assessment; (2) a review of the previous plan and its implementation to inform development of the new plan; and, (3) perception data gathered from the administration of a valid and reliable measure of teaching and learning conditions.

Protocol

1. Clearly detail the process used for reviewing, analyzing and applying data results to determine the priorities from this year's needs assessment. Include names of school councils, leadership teams and stakeholder groups involved, a timeline of the process, the specific data reviewed, and how the meetings are documented.

Data analysis and application are ongoing processes at Mason-Corinth Elementary School. Teams include district-level administrators, the school Site Based Decision making Council, school administrative team, and teachers. KSA and MAP data are shared with all teams for analysis. Principal and Leadership Team leads school-level teams in analysis and planning. Teachers meet weekly with curriculum administrative team to analyze formative assessment data and plan instruction.

Review of Previous Plan

2. Summarize the implementation of the goals, objectives, strategies and activities from the previous year's Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP). What was successful? How does it inform this year's plan?

Some progress was made on the big goals last year. The growth the school made in correcting IEPs and identifying students based on data were some areas of success. This year we decided to only edit or add to those goals so that progress would continue into this year.

Trends

3. Analyzing data trends from the previous two academic years, which academic, cultural and behavioral measures remain significant areas for improvement?

Example of Trends

- The number of behavior referrals increased from 204 in 2021-22 to 288 in 2022-23.
- From 2021 to 2023, the school saw an 11% increase in novice scores in reading among students in the achievement gap.

We are no longer a TSI school. Reading and math are our focus areas based on data. Reviewing test data shows that we have work still to do in the sub-categories; special education and EL. We will be implementing PD on accommodations and co-teaching models.

Current State

4. Plainly state the current condition of the school using precise numbers and percentages as revealed by multiple sources of outcome data. Cite the source of data used.

Example of Current Academic State:

- Thirty-four percent (34%) of students in the achievement gap scored proficient on Kentucky Summative Assessment (KSA) in reading.
- Fifty-four percent (54%) of our students scored proficient in math compared to the state average of 57%.

Example of Non-Academic Current State:

- Teacher attendance rate was 84% for the 2022-23 academic year.
- Survey results and perception data indicated 62% of the school's teachers received adequate professional development.

The percentage of students who scored Novice in Reading on the KSA assessment in 3rd and 4th grade (current 4th and 5th grade) was 64% and 44% respectively.

Of the current 3rd grade students, 31% of them scored in the bottom 20%ile or lower in the winter Reading MAP test.

Teacher attendance and student attendance rate has been high this year.

Priorities/Concerns

5. Clearly and concisely identify the greatest areas of weakness using precise numbers and percentages.

NOTE: These priorities will be thoroughly addressed in the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) diagnostic and template.

Example: Sixty-eight percent (68%) of students in the achievement gap scored below proficiency on the Kentucky Summative Assessment (KSA) in reading as opposed to just 12% of non-gap learners.

73% of all students scored below proficient on the KSA assessment in Reading. 75% of all students scored below proficient on KSA assessment in Math. These two areas are the priority of the 23-24 school year.

Strengths/Leverages

6. Plainly state, using precise numbers and percentages revealed by current data, the strengths and leverages of the school. Explain how they may be utilized to improve areas of concern listed above.

Example: Reading achievement has increased from 37% proficient to its current rate of 58%. The systems of support we implemented for reading can be adapted to address our low performance in math.

Our kindergarten students have come in performing at higher levels than other groups of kindergartners. Our second grade students grew a lot last year, and are continuing to grow this year. Engagement with these new kindergarten families is high, and utilizing them to improve our PTO and other forms of engagement has been evident.

Evaluate the Teaching and Learning Environment

7. Consider the processes, practices and conditions evident in the teaching and learning environment as identified in the six Key Core Work Processes outlined below:

[KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards](#)

[KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction](#)

[KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy](#)

[KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Results](#)

[KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support](#)

[KCWP 6: Establish Learning Environment and Culture](#)

Utilizing implementation data, perception data, and current policies and practices:

a. Complete the [Key Elements Template](#).

- b. Upload your completed template in the attachment area directly below.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment Name




8. After analyzing the Key Elements of your teaching and learning environment, which processes, practices or conditions will the school focus its resources and efforts upon in order to produce the desired changes?

Note that all processes, practices and conditions can be linked to the six Key Core Work Processes.

NOTE: These elements will be thoroughly addressed in the Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CSIP) diagnostic and template.

KCWP 1, 2, 6

Attachment Summary

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
 MCE		• 7